

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 112, 391–395 (1985)

Comparison of Two Approaches to Integration on Separated Topological Spaces

BRUNO BASSAN

*Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University,
Evanston, Illinois 60201, and*

*Dipartimento di Statistica, Probabilità e Statistiche Applicate,
Università degli Studi di Roma, 00185 Roma, Italy*

Submitted by R. P. Boas

In this note we show that the integration theory developed by N. Bourbaki in [2] is a particular case of that developed by A. and C. Ionescu-Tulcea in [3].

Our results provide additional insight into the theory of integration on separated topological spaces.

1. Throughout this note T is a separated topological space, \mathcal{K} is the set of all compact parts of T and \mathcal{A} is the smallest clan containing \mathcal{K} .

For every upper integral N (see [3, p. 1]) and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}^p(T, N)$ the space of all $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ for which $N(|f|^p) < \infty$ and by $\mathcal{L}^p(T, N, \mathcal{R})$ the space of all $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ p -integrable with respect to (N, \mathcal{R}) , where $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{F}^1(T, N)$ has the properties (L_1) , (L_2) and (L_3) (see [3, p. 3]). For every upper integral N we denote by \bar{N} the essential upper integral associated to N (see [3, p. 14]).

For every measure $\mu \geq 0$ (see [2, p. 11]) we denote by μ^* the essential upper integral and by μ^* the upper integral, corresponding to μ . For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu)$ the space of all p -essentially integrable functions¹ $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (that is, μ -measurable and such that $\mu^*(|f|^p) < \infty$), and by $\mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu)$ the space of all p -integrable functions $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (that is, p -essentially integrable and μ -moderated) with respect to μ . We shall see below that μ^* is indeed the essential upper integral² associated to μ^* (in the sense of [3]).

Throughout this note we denote by μ a positive measure on T .

¹ To simplify the presentation we assume that the functions in $\mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu)$ take values in \mathbf{R} ; this does not restrict the generality of the results.

² It is obvious that the concepts of "encombrement," as defined in [2], and of upper integral, as defined in [3], are equivalent, thus μ^* and μ^* are upper integrals in the sense of [3].

2. Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all functions $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of the form $\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j \phi_{A(j)}$, where J is finite, $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{R}$ and $A(j) \in \mathcal{K}$ for $j \in J$. Then \mathcal{R} is the set of all $A \subset T$ such that $\phi_A \in \mathcal{R}$ (see [1, p. 159]). If $f \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\text{Supp}(f)$ is relatively compact; it follows that f is moderated, whence $\mu^*(|f|) = \mu^*(|f|)$. It is easy to prove that

$$\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{F}^p(T, \mu^*) \cap \mathcal{F}^p(T, \mu^*)$$

for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and that \mathcal{R} has the properties (L_1) , (L_2) and (L_3) (to establish (L_3) use Proposition 4, [2, p. 15]). Note also that every $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is μ -measurable.

Now let $K \in \mathcal{K}$, let $g: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous mapping and let $g^0: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the mapping defined by $g^0(x) = g(x)$ for $x \in K$ and $g^0(x) = 0$ for $x \notin K$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $g_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\text{Supp}(g_\varepsilon) \subset K$ and

$$\sup_{x \in T} |g_\varepsilon(x) - g(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

THEOREM 1. For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have:

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu^*, \mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu^*, \mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu^*, \mathcal{R})$. Then there is a sequence (f_n) of elements of \mathcal{R} which converges μ^* -almost everywhere to f (see [3, p. 4]); since every f_n is μ -measurable, so is f . Since $\mu^*(|f|^p) < \infty$, we deduce that f is moderated. Hence $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu)$.

Conversely, let $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $g: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $K = \text{Supp}(g)$ is compact, $g|_K$ is continuous and

$$\mu^*(|f - g|^p)^{1/p} \leq \varepsilon.$$

By the remark preceding the theorem there is $h \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$|g(x) - h(x)| \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu^*(K)^{1/p}} \right) \phi_K(x)$$

for $x \in T$. Then

$$\mu^*(|f - h|^p)^{1/p} \leq \mu^*(|f - g|^p)^{1/p} + \mu^*(|g - h|^p)^{1/p} \leq 2\varepsilon;$$

since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary it follows that $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T, \mu^*, \mathcal{R})$. Hence (1.1) is proved.

The relation (1.2) is proved in exactly the same manner.

One of the main properties of the upper integrals is that of *regularity* (see [3, p. 7]). We shall show now that:

THEOREM 2. *The upper integrals μ^* and μ^* are regular and $\mu^* = \overline{\mu^*}$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that μ^* is regular and $\mu^* = \overline{\mu^*}$ (see (4), [3, p. 14]).

Let \mathcal{I}_+ be the set of all lower semicontinuous mappings of T into $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$. Let $f: T \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$ and let \mathcal{D}_f be the set of all $g: T \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$ which are (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -integrable (see [3, p. 4]) and such that $g \geq f$. If $\mathcal{D}_f = \emptyset$ then $\mu^*(f) = \infty$ (note that if $g \in \mathcal{I}_+$ and $\mu^*(g) < \infty$ then g is (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -integrable). If $\mathcal{D}_f \neq \emptyset$ then

$$\mu^*(f) \leq \inf\{\mu^*(g) | g \in \mathcal{D}_f\} \leq \inf\{\mu^*(g) | g \in \mathcal{I}_+, g \geq f\} = \mu^*(f)$$

and hence

$$\mu^*(f) = \inf\{\mu^*(g) | g \in \mathcal{D}_f\}.$$

Hence μ^* is regular.

Let $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$. If $g \in \mathcal{R}_+$ then gf is moderated and

$$\mu^*(f) \geq \sup\{\mu^*(gf) | g \in \mathcal{R}_+, g \leq 1\} = \sup\{\mu^*(gf) | g \in \mathcal{R}_+, g \leq 1\};$$

but we also have:

$$\mu^*(f) = \sup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \mu^*(\phi_K f) = \sup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \mu^*(\phi_K f).$$

Since $\phi_K \in \mathcal{R}_+$ for $K \in \mathcal{K}$, we conclude:

$$\mu^*(f) = \sup\{\mu^*(gf) | g \in \mathcal{R}_+, g \leq 1\} = \overline{\mu^*(f)};$$

whence $\mu^* = \overline{\mu^*}$.

3. Let E be a metrizable topological vector space and let \mathcal{R}_E be the set of all functions $f: T \rightarrow E$ of the form $\sum_{j \in J} x_j \phi_{A(j)}$, where J is finite, $x_j \in E$ and $A(j) \in \mathcal{A}$ for $j \in J$. Every $f \in \mathcal{R}_E$ is μ -measurable.

A function $f: T \rightarrow E$ is (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -measurable if given any (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -integrable set $B \subset T$ there is a sequence (f_n) of elements of \mathcal{R}_E which converges to f on $B - B_0$, where $B_0 \subset B$ and $\mu^*(B_0) = 0$. Replacing μ^* by μ^* we obtain the definition of (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -measurability.³

Obviously, every function in \mathcal{R}_E is both (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -measurable and (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -measurable. The Egorov theorem (given in [3, p. 71] for the case when E is a Banach space) is valid also in this setting; in particular, if (f_n) is a sequence of measurable functions (in the sense defined above) which converges to f almost everywhere on every integrable set, then f is measurable.

³ One can define measurability also for functions with values in a metrizable space (see [4, p. 204]) and generalize Theorem 3 below.

Now let $K \in \mathcal{K}$, let $g: K \rightarrow E$ be a continuous mapping and let $g^0: T \rightarrow E$ be the mapping defined by $g^0(x) = g(x)$ if $x \in K$ and $g^0(x) = 0$ if $x \notin K$. It is easy to see that there is a sequence (g_n) of elements of \mathcal{R}_E which converges uniformly on T to g^0 . It follows that g is both (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -measurable and (μ, \mathcal{R}) -measurable.

THEOREM 3. *Let $f: T \rightarrow E$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(3.1) f is (μ, \mathcal{R}) -measurable,

(3.2) f is (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -measurable,

(3.3) f is μ -measurable.

Proof. Assume that (3.1) holds. Let $B \subset T$ be a (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -integrable set. Then B is also (μ, \mathcal{R}) -integrable. Hence there is a sequence (f_n) of elements of \mathcal{R}_E which converges to f on $B - B_0$, where $B_0 \subset B$ and $\mu(B_0) = 0$. Since B is moderated, B_0 is moderated, whence $\mu^*(B_0) = 0$. Since B is arbitrary, (3.2) holds. Hence (3.1) \Rightarrow (3.2).

Since every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is (μ^*, \mathcal{R}) -integrable and since every function in \mathcal{R}_E is μ -measurable we deduce that (3.2) \Rightarrow (3.3).

Finally, assume that (3.3) holds. Let $A \subset T$ be a (μ, \mathcal{R}) -integrable set. Then A is μ -measurable (use, for example, Theorem 1) and $\mu(A) < \infty$. Hence there exists a set $L \subset A$ and a family $(L_j)_{j \in N}$ of pairwise disjoint compact sets such that $\mu^*(L) = 0$, $f|_{L_j}$ is continuous on L_j for $j \in N$ and

$$A = L \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \in N} L_j \right).$$

If $f_n = f|_{(\bigcup_{0 \leq j < n} L_j)}$ for every $n \in N$, then f_n is continuous on $\bigcup_{0 \leq j < n} L_j$; hence f_n^0 is (μ, \mathcal{R}) -measurable (see the remark preceding the theorem). Since (f_n^0) converges to $(f|_A)^0$ on $T - L$, we deduce that $(f|_A)^0$ is (μ, \mathcal{R}) -measurable. Since A is arbitrary, f is (μ, \mathcal{R}) -measurable and hence (3.3) \Rightarrow (3.1).

From now on we assume E to be a Banach space. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}_E^p(T, N, \mathcal{R})$ the space of all $f: T \rightarrow E$ p -integrable with respect to (N, \mathcal{R}) ; for $f \in \mathcal{L}_E^1(T, N, \mathcal{R})$ we define

$$\int_T f d\mu_{(N, \mathcal{R})}$$

as in [3] (the integral is actually defined in [3] only in the case of real valued functions; the generalization to the case of functions with values in a Banach space is immediate).

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we denote by $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_E^p(T, \mu)$ the space of all p -essentially integrable functions $f: T \rightarrow E$ (that is, μ -measurable and such that $\mu^*(\|f\|^p) < \infty$), and by $\mathcal{L}_E^p(T, \mu)$ the space of all p -integrable functions

$f: T \rightarrow E$ (that is, p -essentially integrable and μ -moderated); for $f \in \mathcal{L}_E^1(T, \mu)$ we define

$$\int_T f d\mu$$

as in [2]. We have the following results:

THEOREM 4. *For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have:*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_E^p(T, \mu^*, \mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{L}_E^p(T, \mu),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_E^p(T, \mu, \mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{L}_E^p(T, \mu).$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6 of [3, p. 71] and Theorem 3 above.

We observe that this theorem can also be obtained by the method used to prove Theorem 1.

THEOREM 5. *For every $f \in \mathcal{L}_E^1(T, \mu) = \mathcal{L}_E^1(T, \mu^*, \mathcal{R})$ we have:*

$$\int_T f d\mu = \int_T f d\mu_{(\mu^*, \mathcal{R})}$$

Proof. The vector space \mathcal{R}_E is dense in $\mathcal{L}_E^1(T, \mu^*, \mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{L}_E^1(T, \mu)$; hence to prove Theorem 5 it is enough to consider the case when $f \in \mathcal{R}_E$, and hence the case when $f = x \cdot \phi_A$, where $x \in E$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. But in this case the above integrals are both equal to $\mu^*(A) \cdot x$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am indebted to C. Ionescu-Tulcea for discussions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. N. BOURBAKI, "Intégration," Chap. IV, Hermann, Paris, 1965.
2. N. BOURBAKI, "Intégration," Chap. IX, Hermann, Paris, 1969.
3. A. IONESCU-TULCEA AND C. IONESCU-TULCEA, "Topics in the Theory of Lifting," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1969.
4. C. IONESCU-TULCEA, Lifting for functions with values in a completely regular space, *Math. Ann.* **187** (1970), 200–206.